



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

71e

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/896,088	06/28/2001	Carl M. Ellison	42390P11770	9497
8791	7590	02/15/2007	EXAMINER	
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN			SHIFERAW, ELENI A	
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEVENTH FLOOR			2136	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/896,088	ELLISON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Eleni A. Shiferaw	2136

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Eleni A. Shiferaw.

(3) _____

(2) William W. Schaal.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 12 February 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: discussed about:

- canceling some of the Applicant's specification on ^{ad} lines 4 and 9 of page 6 where it discloses a medium is "transfer information" and "any type of link" because medium claimed in claim 13 and 34 causes non-statutory problem (carrier wave),
- amending the abstract to cancel "In one embodiment," of line one, and "is described. The method" from line 2 of the abstract.
- either cancelling claim 19 and 27 or amending them in view of other allowed claims.